UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 . Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,756	06/10/2005	Joe Jump	10388.204-US	1756
	25908 7590 01/03/2007 NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC.		EXAMINER	
500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, NY 10110		KUMAR, PREETI		
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NDW TOTAL, TV		,	1751	
	·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	ITHS	01/03/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/538,756	JUMP ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Preeti Kumar	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. leriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 June 2005.	
·— ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement	
o) Glaim(s) are subject to restriction a	ma/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 June 2005 is/ar	,— · · · - ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	=	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	·	
•	ie Examiner. Note the attach	su office Action of form 1 10-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received	
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur		Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International Bu	•	•
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/10/05.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,756 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

1. Claims 1-17 are pending. Claims 1, 6, and 11 are independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Andersen et al. (US 5,997,584).

Application/Control Number: 10/538,756

Art Unit: 1751

Andersen et al. teach a method of colour clarification and a method of reducing the pilling propensity of polyester fabrics and/or garments comprises treating with a carboxylic ester hydrolase such as terephthalic acid diethyl hydrolytic enzyme (ETE hydrolytic enzyme) and/or ethyleneglycol dibenzyl ester hydrolytic enzyme (BEB hydrolytic enzyme). See abstract and col.24, claim 1.

Andersen et al. teach the use of ETE hydrolytic enzyme and/or BEB hydrolytic enzyme in combination with a detergent is useful for improving colour clarity and reducing pilling propensity of polyester fabrics and/or garments. The method also improves soil release properties, especially oily stains and antistatic properties of polyester fabrics and garments. See abstract and col.2,ln.55-col.3,ln.3.

Andersen et al. teach detergent compositions comprise additional enzyme(s) which provides cleaning performance and/or fabric care benefits such as other proteases, lipases, cutinases, amylases, cellulases, peroxidases, oxidases (e.g. laccases). See col.11,ln.30-35.

In examples1-3 in col.21-22, Andersen et al. illustrate reducing pilling with ETE and BEB and cellulase treatment of polyester. There is no detergent surfactant in these examples. Accordingly the teachings of Andersen et al. anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims.

Alternatively, even if the broad teachings of Andersen et al. are not sufficient to anticipate the material limitations of the instant claims, it would have been nonetheless obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to arrive at the claimed method without presence of a detergent surfactant because Andersen et al. illustrate in examples 1-3 a

Application/Control Number: 10/538,756

Art Unit: 1751

detergent free method of reducing pilling and improving appearance and color clarity of polyethylene terephthalate fabrics with terephthalic acid diethyl hydrolytic enzyme (ETE hydrolytic enzyme) and/or ethyleneglycol dibenzyl ester hydrolytic enzyme (BEB hydrolytic enzyme).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Mc Ginty can be reached on 571-272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Application/Control Number: 10/538,756

Art Unit: 1751

Page 5

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Preeti Kumar RK. Examiner
Art Unit 1751

PK

DOUGLAS MCGINTY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1751